Applications and Studies in Modular Decomposition

Robert Brignall

The Open University, UK

Wednesday 29th February, 2012

Outline

Relational Structures

Many combinatorial objects can be described as relational structures:

- A set of points, A.
- A set of relations on these points.
  A k-ary relation R – a subset of A^k.
- Binary relations come in many different flavours – linear, transitive, symmetric ...

Often too abstract to be useful, but (e.g.) modular decomposition is common to all of these.

Graphs

- Defined by a single binary symmetric relation (the edges).
- $u \sim v$ iff $v \sim u$.



Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9$.

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9.$
- 8

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9$.
- $8 \prec 5$

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9.$
- $8 \prec 5 \prec 2$

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9$.
- $8 \prec 5 \prec 2 \prec 3$

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9.$
- $8 \prec 5 \prec 2 \prec 3 \prec 9$

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9.$
- $8 \prec 5 \prec 2 \prec 3 \prec 9 \prec 6$

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9.$
- $8 \prec 5 \prec 2 \prec 3 \prec 9 \prec 6 \prec 7$

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9.$
- $8 \prec 5 \prec 2 \prec 3 \prec 9 \prec 6 \prec 7 \prec 4$

Permutations

- A permutation of length n is a structure on two linear relations.



$$\pi = 9\,3\,4\,8\,2\,6\,7\,1\,5$$

- $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9$.
- $8 \prec 5 \prec 2 \prec 3 \prec 9 \prec 6 \prec 7 \prec 4 \prec 1$

Posets

- A binary reflexive antisymmetric transitive relation.



Tournaments

- A complete oriented graph.
- As a relational structure, it is a single trichotomous binary relation:

$$x \to y, y \to x \text{ or } x = y.$$

- A competition between players: $x \to y$ means "y wins."



Modules

- Module: set of points which "look" at every other point in the same way.
- Synonyms: Autonomous sets, blocks, bound sets, closed sets, clumps, convex sets, intervals...
- A structure is prime if its only modules are singletons or the whole thing.
- Synonyms: Indecomposable, simple...

Module in a graph

- M is a module: neighbourhoods outside M of vertices in M agree: for all $u, v \in M$, $N(u) \setminus M = N(v) \setminus M$.



Module in a graph

- M is a module: neighbourhoods outside M of vertices in M agree: for all $u, v \in M$, $N(u) \setminus M = N(v) \setminus M$.



Module in a graph

- M is a module: neighbourhoods outside M of vertices in M agree: for all $u, v \in M$, $N(u) \setminus M = N(v) \setminus M$.



Module in a graph

- M is a module: neighbourhoods outside M of vertices in M agree: for all $u, v \in M$, $N(u) \setminus M = N(v) \setminus M$.



Modular Decomposition



- Take any graph (more generally: relational structure).
- Find the maximal proper modules.

Modular Decomposition



- Take any graph (more generally: relational structure).
- Find the maximal proper modules.

Modular Decomposition



- Take any graph (more generally: relational structure).
- Find the maximal proper modules.

Modular Decomposition



- Replace each module by a single point.
- The skeleton — P_4 — is prime.

Modular Decomposition



- This is the modular decomposition
  (a.k.a. substitution decomposition, disjunctive decomposition, X-join).
- Unique unless skeleton is K_n or $\overline{K_n}$.

More formally...

Theorem (Modular Decomposition)

Let G be a graph. Then either

- *G or $\overline{G}$ is disconnected, or*
- *G has a prime skeleton, and the decomposition into maximal proper modules is unique.*

- Can be done recursively to each maximal module: modular decomposition tree.

Prime graphs

- Modules are all singletons, or the whole graph.
- K_2 and $\overline{K_2}$ are special cases...
- No prime graphs on 3 vertices.

Prime graphs on 4 and 5 vertices



Origins

- Fraïssé (1953): gave a talk entitled "On a decomposition of relations which generalizes the sum of ordering relations"
- Gallai (1967): first article — *Transitiv orientbare Graphen*
- Feature in Lovász's perfect graph theorem
- Möhring (1980s): game theory, combinatorial optimisation

Graph Modular Decomposition Algorithms

- James, Stanton and Cowan, 1972: First polynomial time algorithm, $O(n^4)$.
- McConnell and Spinrad, 1994: first linear time algorithm.
- Other linear time algorithms now available.
- Parameterised complexity: recently used in kernalisation algorithms.

Graph Reconstruction

The deck of a graph: $D(G) = \{\ast\, G - v : v \in V(G) \,\ast\}$.

The Reconstruction conjecture (Ulam 1960, Kelly 1957)

Every graph G on at least 3 vertices is uniquely determined by $D(G)$.

Example

$D(G)$: 

Graph Reconstruction

The deck of a graph: $D(G) = \{\!*\, G - v : v \in V(G) \,*\!\}$.

The Reconstruction conjecture (Ulam 1960, Kelly 1957)

Every graph G on at least 3 vertices is uniquely determined by $D(G)$.

Example



Graph Reconstruction

The deck of a graph: $D(G) = \{* G - v : v \in V(G) *\}$.

The Reconstruction conjecture (Ulam 1960, Kelly 1957)

Every graph G on at least 3 vertices is uniquely determined by $D(G)$.

Example

$D(G)$:



G:

Graph Reconstruction

The deck of a graph: $D(G) = \{* \, G - v : v \in V(G) \, *\}$.

The Reconstruction conjecture (Ulam 1960, Kelly 1957)

Every graph G on at least 3 vertices is uniquely determined by $D(G)$.

Example

$D(G)$:



$P_4 = G$:

Progress on RC

RC is notoriously difficult. A few highlights:

- Trees (Kelly, 1957)
- Graphs with ≥ 2 components (folklore? See Harary 1964)
- Almost all graphs (Bollobas, 1990)
- All graphs with ≤ 11 vertices (McKay, 1997).

Other relational structures:

- RC is True: permutations
- RC is False: digraphs, tournaments, hypergraphs, infinite graphs

More than one component

Proposition

Graphs with two or more components are reconstructible.

Proof.

In $D(G)$, for each component C of G, we have:

- $|V(G)| - |V(C)|$ copies of C.
- A copy of $D(C)$.

To reconstruct:

- Select a largest component in $D(G)$: must be a component of G.
- Remove components attributable to C from $D(G)$.
- Repeat, until no more components.

□

A special case of modular decomposition?

- ≥ 2 components: first scenario of modular decomposition.

Theorem (Illé, 1993)

$D(G)$ recognises whether G is prime or not.

Can we reconstruct decomposable (non-prime) graphs?

- Prime graphs already have a rich structure theory, so reducing RC to prime graphs could be important.

Generalising using modular decomposition

Lemma

If G is decomposable, can reconstruct the skeleton.

Generalising using modular decomposition

Lemma

If G is decomposable, can reconstruct the skeleton.

Lemma

If G is decomposable, can reconstruct all the maximal proper modules.

- So we're done, right?

. . . not quite. :(

…not quite. :(

- How to put modules back into the skeleton?

…not quite. :(

- How to put modules back into the skeleton?

Theorem (B., Georgiou, Waters)

If a decomposable graph G contains a maximal module M for which some $M - v$ is not a maximal module in the same orbit of the skeleton of G, then G is reconstructible.

- Roughly, this fails when the maximal modules of G form a hereditary property.

Intervals

- Module = interval.
- An interval of π is a set of contiguous indices $I = [a, b]$ such that $\pi(I) = \{\pi(i) : i \in I\}$ is also contiguous.

Example



Intervals

- Module = interval.
- An interval of π is a set of contiguous indices $I = [a, b]$ such that $\pi(I) = \{\pi(i) : i \in I\}$ is also contiguous.

Example



Intervals

- Module = interval.
- An interval of π is a set of contiguous indices $I = [a, b]$ such that $\pi(I) = \{\pi(i) : i \in I\}$ is also contiguous.

Example



Common Intervals and Genomics

Common interval: applies to a set Σ of permutations.
Roughly, a set of points which each $\pi \in \Sigma$ maps to a contiguous set.

Important in gene sequence matching:

- "Reversal" = genetic mutation.
- Sorting by reversals: #steps to recover identity permutation.
- E.g. finding common ancestry of two species.

Modular Decomposition for Permutations

Example



Modular Decomposition for Permutations

- Break permutation into maximal proper intervals.

Example



Modular Decomposition for Permutations

- Break permutation into maximal proper intervals.
- Gives a unique prime permutation. ("simple").

Example



Modular Decomposition for Permutations

- Break permutation into maximal proper intervals.
- Gives a unique prime permutation. ("simple").
- Unique unless skeleton is 12 or 21.

Example



Pattern avoiding permutations 101

Example



- Pattern containment: a partial order, $\sigma \leq \pi$.

Pattern avoiding permutations 101

Example



- Pattern containment: a partial order, $\sigma \leq \pi$.
- Permutation class: downset in this ordering:

$$\pi \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \sigma \leq \pi \text{ implies } \sigma \in \mathcal{C}.$$

- Avoidance: classes defined by minimal set of forbidden elements:

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Av}(B) = \{\pi : \beta \not\leq \pi \text{ for all } \beta \in B\}.$$

Uses of Modular Decomposition

Modular decomposition can help to answer questions such as:

- Enumeration: how many permutations in $\mathcal{C}$ of length n?
- Structure: what do permutations in $\mathcal{C}$ look like?
- Algorithms for the membership problem: is $\pi \in \mathcal{C}$?

Finitely Many Primes

Permutation classes with only finitely many prime permutations behave well:

- Membership problem "is $\pi \in \mathcal{C}$?" answered in linear time.

Finitely Many Primes

Permutation classes with only finitely many prime permutations behave well:

- Membership problem "is $\pi \in \mathcal{C}$?" answered in linear time.

Albert and Atkinson (2005):

- They have a finite set of minimal forbidden elements.
- They are well quasi-ordered (no infinite antichains).
- They are enumerated by algebraic generating functions.

In fact...

Algebraic Generating Functions Everywhere!

Theorem (B., Huczynska and Vatter, 2008)

In a permutation class $\mathcal{C}$ with only finitely many prime permutations, the following sequences have algebraic generating functions:

- *the number of permutations in $\mathcal{C}_n$ [Albert and Atkinson],*
- *the number of even permutations in $\mathcal{C}_n$,*
- *the number of involutions in $\mathcal{C}_n$,*
- *the number of permutations in $\mathcal{C}_n$ avoiding any finite set of blocked or barred permutations ("generalised" patterns),*
- *the number of alternating permutations in $\mathcal{C}_n$,*
- *the number of Dumont permutations in $\mathcal{C}_n$,*
- *. . . ,*
- *and any (finite) combination of the above.*

Why study prime graphs?

Prime graphs are the elemental building blocks, simplifying studies in, e.g.

- Clique-width:
  $\mathrm{cw}(G) = \max\{\mathrm{cw}(H) : H \text{ is a prime induced subgraph of } G\}$.
- Well quasi-order: just like with permutations.
- Graph reconstruction?

Prime structure

Theorem (Schmerl and Trotter, 1993)

Every prime graph contains a prime induced subgraph on 1 or 2 fewer vertices.

Up to complements, one family where two vertices must be deleted:

The Half Graphs



Subcritical prime graphs

- Prime graph G: k-subcritical: exactly k vertices for which $G - v$ is prime.
- i.e. half-graphs are "0-subcritical" (= critical).

Paths are 2-subcritical



- Need ≥ 5 vertices.
- Delete either leaf: get a shorter path.
- Delete any other vertex: graph is disconnected.

Subcritical prime graphs

- Prime graph G: k-subcritical: exactly k vertices for which $G - v$ is prime.
- i.e. half-graphs are "0-subcritical" (= critical).

Paths are 2-subcritical



- Need ≥ 5 vertices.
- Delete either leaf: get a shorter path.
- Delete any other vertex: graph is disconnected.

Subcritical prime graphs

- Prime graph G: k-subcritical: exactly k vertices for which $G - v$ is prime.
- i.e. half-graphs are "0-subcritical" (= critical).

Paths are 2-subcritical



- Need ≥ 5 vertices.
- Delete either leaf: get a shorter path.
- Delete any other vertex: graph is disconnected.

Subcritical prime graphs

- Prime graph G: *k-subcritical*: exactly k vertices for which $G - v$ is prime.
- i.e. half-graphs are "0-subcritical" (= critical).

Paths are 2-subcritical



- Need ≥ 5 vertices.
- Delete either leaf: get a shorter path.
- Delete any other vertex: graph is disconnected.

Subcritical prime graphs

- Prime graph G: k-subcritical: exactly k vertices for which $G - v$ is prime.
- i.e. half-graphs are "0-subcritical" (= critical).

Paths are 2-subcritical



- Need ≥ 5 vertices.
- Delete either leaf: get a shorter path.
- Delete any other vertex: graph is disconnected.

Subcritical prime graphs

- Prime graph G: k-subcritical: exactly k vertices for which $G - v$ is prime.
- i.e. half-graphs are "0-subcritical" (= critical).

Paths are 2-subcritical

- Need ≥ 5 vertices.
- Delete either leaf: get a shorter path.
- Delete any other vertex: graph is disconnected.

Classifying 1-subcriticals

- Classified by Belkhechine, Boudabbous and Elayech (2010).
- B., Georgiou: shorter method, following Schmerl and Trotter.
- Structure: variations on the half graph.





2-subcriticals and beyond

- Work in progress...
- Complete classification ⇒ direct proof of Illé's recognition procedure for prime graphs.
- Two basic infinite families: paths and A_ns:

Family $\{A_n : n \geq 7\}$



- Full range of 2-subcriticals formed from P_n or A_n by building "half graphs" everywhere...
- Suggests a general approach for k-subcriticals?

Ramsey theory of prime graphs

Graph theoretic analogue of the following?

Theorem (B., Huczynska and Vatter, 2008)

Every prime permutation of length at least $2(256k^8)^{2k}$ contains a prime permutation of length at least $2k$ from one of three families.



Why?

For permutations, we have a decision procedure:

Theorem (B., Ruškuc and Vatter, 2008)

It is decidable if a permutation class defined by a finite set of forbidden elements contains only finitely many prime permutations.

Theorem (Bassino, Bouvel, Pierrot, Rossin, 2012+)

Decision procedure can be done in polynomial time (w.r.t. forbidden elements).

Similar results would follow for hereditary properties of graphs.

Probable unavoidable substructures

The list of prime structures should include:



- Permutation case does not seem to translate.
- Can k-subcriticals help?

Thanks!